

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/894,605	06/28/2001	Dave Langridge	0584-1043	5113
23644 75	590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
BARNES & THORNBURG			LIN, KELVIN Y	
P.O. BOX 2786 CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/894,605	LANGRIDGE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kelvin Lin	2142				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address				
Period f	or Reply						
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 0 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 N	ovember 2004.					
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)[, ——						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
,—	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 November 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
• —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
- ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmer	4						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:					

Detailed Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Hermann (US Patent 6606667).
- 2. Regarding claim 1, Herman teaches a communication network comprising:
 - (a) a plurality of switching nodes (Hermann, col. 3, I. 32),
 - (b) a plurality of network spans each comprising a working span and a protection span and arranged to interconnect the switching nodes in a ring configuration (Hermann, col. 1, I. 55)

(ç)

a network controller (ADM) arranged to control switching of data in the
network between the working and protection spans, the network being
arranged to carry working data on the working spans and
a portion of working data on the protection spans, the portion carried
on the protection span having a bandwidth less than the maximum
bandwidth of the protection spans, (Hermann, col. 1, I. 59-60),

Application/Control Number: 09/894,605

Art Unit: 2142

• and the network controller being arranged in the event of a failure in a working span, to cause the switches to perform a span switch by switching a portion of the working data bandwidth being carried on the working span to the unused bandwidth on the protection span for the span having the working span failure and to perform a ring switch by switching the remainder of the working data bandwidth carried on the working span to the unused bandwidth on the protection spans of the other spans in the ring (Hermann, col. 4, I.53-67, col.5, I.1-67, and col.6, I.1-67),

- the network controller being further arranged in the event of a failure in a protection span to cause the switches to perform a ring switch to switch the portional bandwidth of the working data the unused bandwidth on the protection spans of the other spans in the ring (Hermann, col. 8, I.53).
- 3. Regarding claim 2, Hermann further discloses a network according to claim 1, wherein the network controller (ADM) is integral with a least one of the switching nodes (Hermann, col. 1, l. 58-59).
- 4. Regarding claim 3, Hermann further discloses a network according to claim 1, wherein the spans are carried over optical fibers (Hermann, col. 7, l. 52-53).
- 5. Regarding claim 4, Hermann further discloses a network according to claim 1, wherein the data is transmitted over the network using a protocol selected from a group containing SONET and SDH (Hermann, col. 1, I.28).

Application/Control Númber: 09/894,605

Art Unit: 2142

6. Regarding claim 5, Hermann further discloses a network according to claim 1, wherein the bandwidth on the protection spans not used for working data before a failure in a working span is at least half the maximum bandwidth of the protection spans. (Hermann, col. 8, I. 8).

- 7. Regarding claim 6, Hermann further discloses a network according to claim 1, wherein the bandwidth on the protection spans not used for working data before a failure in a protection span is at least half the maximum bandwidth of the protection spans (Hermann, col. 8, I. 8).
- 8. Regarding claim 7-10 have similar limitation as claim 1.C, 2, 5-6. Therefore, claim 7-9 are rejected under Hermann for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1.C, 2, 5-6.
- Regarding claim 11-13 have similar limitation as claim 1.C, 5-6. Therefore,
 Claim 11-13 are rejected under Hermann for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 11-13.
- 10. Regarding claim 14, Hermann further discloses a method of transmitting data over a communications network, the network having a plurality of switching nodes interconnected in a ring configuration, and each network span having a protection span and a working span, the method comprising:
 - a. Transmitting working data over each working span at a bandwidth
 Up to the maximum bandwidth of the working span all of the
 bandwidth on the working line will be used to carry working traffic
 (Hermann, col. 5, I. 26-29),

Application/Control Number: 09/894,605

Art Unit: 2142

b. Transmitting working data over each protection span at a portional bandwidth which is less than the maximum bandwidth of the protection span (Hermann, col. 6, l. 17-25),

- c. In the event of a failure in a working span, performing a span switch by switching a portion of the working data bandwidth to the unused bandwidth on the protection span for the span having the working span failure and performing a ring switch by switching the remainder of the working data bandwidth to the unused bandwidth on the protection spans of the other spans in the ring (Hermann, col. 4, 1.54-55).
- d. In the event of a failure in a protection span, performing a ring switch by switchin the portional bandwidth of the working data to the unused bandwidth on the protection spans of the other span in the ring (Hermann, col. 4, I. 36-39).
- 11. Regarding claim 15, Hermann further discloses a method according to claim 14, wherein the bandwidth on the protection spans not used for working data before a failure in a working span is at least half the maximum bandwidth of the protection spans (Hermann, col. 6, I.33-34).
- 12. Regarding claim 16, Hermann further discloses a method according to claim 14, wherein the bandwidth on the protection spans not used for working data before a failure in a protection span is at least half the maximum of the protection spans (Hermann, col. 8, l. 8).

Application/Control Number: 09/894,605 Page 6

Art Unit: 2142

Response to Remarks

1. The Application's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered

but are not persuasive. Examiner appreciates detail description of prior art.

2. Regarding claim 11, applicant argues that "arranged to permit the network to

carry working data on the working spans and a portion of working data on the protection

spans".

3. Hermann clearly discloses that the balanced network has high working/protection

bandwidth ratio, it can suffer a single node failure, or multiple line failure on two

separate arms, and it can accommodate maintenance on all spans of two separate

arms. In addition, the "ratio" parameters in the equation shown on (Hermann col.4, I.

53-67, col.5, l.1-67, and col.6, l.1-67) are an optimized design for the best result. So

that, when the traffic on the working line is switched onto the protection line, and all of

the bandwidth on the working line will be used to carry working traffic; with some of the

BW ratio on the protection line will also be used to carry working traffic (Hermann, col.5,

23-30).

4. Regarding claim 14, applicant argues that "each network span having a

protection span and a working span".

Hermann clearly discloses that the balanced network distributes the traffic onto

the woking line, or/and protection line. (Maloney, col.5, l.26-29).

5. Therefore, examiner contends Herman discloses that a plurality of network spans

each comprising a working span and a protection span.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,605

Art Unit: 2142

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action, Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MEPE 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this inal action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first replay is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTH from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelvin Lin whose telephone number is 703-605-1726. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible 4/9/5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey can be reached on 703-305-9705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,605 Page 9

Art Unit: 2142

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kyl 2/26 /2005

UPERVILL FEXAMINES